did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Anne-Marie Falk, PH.D RIMARY EXAMINER		Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Daniel M Sullivan. (2) Luren Silger. (4) Date of Interview: 27 May 2004 Time: Type of Interview: 27 May 2004 Time:	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/769,204	ALISON ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Daniel M Sullivan. (2) Luren Sliger. (4) Date of Interview: 27 May 2004 Time: Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Daniel M Sullivan. (2) Luren Sliger. (4)		Daniel M Sullivan	1636
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004 Time: Type of Interview: 27 May 2004 Type of Interview: 27 May 2004 Time: Type of Interview: 27 May 2004 Type of	All Participants: Status of Application:		
Type of Interview: 27 May 2004 Time: Type of Interview:	(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan</u> .	(3)	,
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Luren Sliger</u> .	(4)	
Telephonic dideo Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 27 May 2004	Time:	
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 64 and 65 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes 		
Claims discussed: 64 and 65 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ANNE-MARTE FALK, PH.D TRIMARY EXAMINER	Part I.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ANNE-MARKE FALK, PM.D RIMAGNEER FALK, PM.D RIMAGNEER FALK, PM.D RIMAGNEER FALK, PM.D RIMAGNEER FALK, PM.D	Rejection(s) discussed:		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ANNE-MARTE FALK, PH.D RIMARY EXAMINER	64 and 65		
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ANNE-MARTE FALK, PM.D TRIMARDY EXAMINER	Part II.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Anne-mare falk, Ph.D RIMARY FALK, PH.D		GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Anne-many Jalk ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D RIMARY EXAMINER	Part III.		
ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview		
TRIBARY EXAMINER	ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D		
		oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the amendment filed under Rule 1.312 could not be entered because the status of some of the claims appears to be incorrect. The Examiner acknowledged that the gramatical errors in the claims could be remedied by a Certificate of Correction.